

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,009	12/11/2001	Tore Curstedt	211596US0PCT 5055		
22850	7590 01/14/2004	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TELLER, ROY R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/926,0		CURSTEDT ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
	•	Roy Telle		1654				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				ldress			
P riod for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	Description (a) Electron							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 October 2003</u> .							
/—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 and 23-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13,17-19,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen	ıt(s)		_					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-13, 17-19, and 21-22, received 10/22/03, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the election of a separate invention requirement is not met. Applicant contends there is a clear related technical feature. This is not found persuasive because the examiner contends the special technical feature (as defined by the first presented composition) is an SP-C analog, which is a known compound (Palmblad, Biochem. J.,1999, 338, pp.381-386, see abstract and pp. 382). Thus, the special technical feature which links the claims does not provide a contribution over the art, so unity of invention is lacking.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-13, 17-19, and 21-22 are pending and will be examined to the extent that they read on SEQ ID NO:2.

Election of species of claim 2 is declared free of the art, accordingly, the examiner has choosen another species, claim 7, to examine on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1, 2, and 7 have been examined on the merits. Claims 1, 2, and 7 have been examined on the art with respect to the elected species as noted above.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement, received 12/11/2001, is acknowledged. A signed copy is enclosed hereto.

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "...according to a one-letter amino acid code...", this is unnecessary and unclear. The examiner suggests replacing the phrase with "...as follows...".

Art Unit: 1654

Claim 2 recites SP-C analogues, having the formula (Ia) (SEQ ID NO:2), where the sequence is FGIPSSPVHLKX4BX4BX4BXGALLMGL, this is vague and indefinite because it is unclear which amino acid is selected in X and which amino acid is selected in B.

All other claims are rejected for being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benson, WO 91/18015.

The claimed invention is drawn to a SP-C analog, for a method of treating surfactant deficiency comprising administering the SP-C analog, wherein the surfactant deficiency is respiratory distress syndrome.

Benson beneficially teaches various derived peptides have alveolar surfactant protein (ASP) activity which are useful in the management of certain respiratory diseases, see abstract.

Benson teaches a purified polypeptide having ASP activity, see claims 1 and 8.

Therefore, the reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claims above.

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benson, WO 91/18015.

The claimed invention is drawn to a SP-C analog, for a method of treating surfactant deficiency comprising administering the SP-C analog, wherein the surfactant deficiency is respiratory distress syndrome.

The reference is relied upon for the reasons discussed *supra*.

From the teaching of the reference, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the reference, especially in absence of evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

All claims are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is (703)305-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

RT 1654 1/12/04

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER